	Case 4:23-mj-714	139-MAG Docume	nt 12 Filed 10/02/	23 Page 1 of 1	FILE
		UNITED STATES I NORTHERN DISTRICO	CT OF CALIFORNIA	NORTH L	FILED OCT -2 2023 K. U.S. DISTORE
United	l States of America,)	Case No. <u>23</u> -	<u>mj-7143</u>	AKLAND OF CALIFORN
Rol	v. Plaintiff, v. Defendant(s).))))	STIPULATED OR UNDER THE SPE		
Γrial Act fron continuance o	ns stated by the parties in 10/2/23 putweigh the best interest. The court makes this	to 11/7/23 est of the public and the	_ and finds that the er he defendant in a spec	nds of justice serve edy trial. <i>See</i> 18 U	ed by the J.S.C. §
	Failure to grant a con See 18 U.S.C. § 3161		tely to result in a miso	carriage of justice.	
_	defendants, the	al or so complex, due e nature of the prosec asonable to expect add limits established by	ution, or the exequate preparation for	xistence of novel q r pretrial proceedin	questions of fact ngs or the trial
	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
	Failure to grant a concounsel's other scheousel See 18 U.S.C. § 3161	luled case commitmen			
X	Failure to grant a connecessary for effective See 18 U.S.C. § 3161				
	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).				
IT IS	SO ORDERED.				
DATE	ED: 10/2/23	3	Mille		
			DONNA M. RYU United States Mag	istrata Indoa	
	1000		Office States Mag.	isitate Judge	

STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant

Assistant United States Attorney